Population Initialization Techniques for RHEA in GVGP

Raluca D. Gaina, Simon M. Lucas, Diego Perez-Liebana



University of Essex



O Rolling Horizon Evolutionary Algorithms (RHEA) show promise

O in General Video Game Playing (GVGP)

O as showcased in the General Video Game AI Competition (GVGAI).

O Better than random initialization for faster evolution?

O No clear general analysis in previous literature

Game Al



Super Mario Al



General Video Game Al



General Video Game Al Competition

O 2D grid-physics games

- Arcade, puzzles, shooters, adventure.
 - Ways to interact with the environment
 - O Ways to win
 - O Elements in a game
 - O Scoring systems
 - Single and two player, cooperative and competitive.

... high-level view of current game state for agents; real-time decisions (40ms)

Rolling Horizon Evolution



Methodology

O Try two methods ...

- O One Step Look Ahead (1SLA)
- O Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS-S)
- O ... on 20 GVGAI games ...
- O ... with different core parameter configurations.



Experiment

- O Population size P Individual length L = {1-6, 2-8, 5-10, 10-14, 15-16, 20-20}
- All other parameters fixed to default values
- O Budget: 900 Forward Model calls
 - O L FM calls for 1SLA
 - O Half budget for MCTS-S
 - O MCTS-S rollout depth = L
- O Validation
 - O Comparison with MCTS.

one individual, mutate it to form population

20 Games from GVGAI corpus



Results Overview

- O Improvement much bigger when small pop size
- **O** MCTS seeding significantly better
- O 3 games in which MCTS seeding consistently bad: puzzles / long term reward
- Some games remain at 0% win rate
- O Game Chopper: 26% => 100% win rate (1-6)

O Big improvement in low config shows promise of RHEA with improved evolution

Results – Vanilla vs 1SLA



Win rate, no. games significantly better Overall: 8, 6

11



Score, no. games significantly better Overall: 11, 8

Results – Vanilla vs MCTS-S



Win rate, no. games significantly better Overall: 4, 12



Score, no. games significantly better Overall: 5, 16

12

Results – 1SLA vs MCTS-S



Win rate, no. games significantly better Overall: 3, 10



Score, no. games significantly better Overall: 5, 13

13

Results - MCTS Validation



No. games MCTS-S better than Vanilla, but not MCTS Overall: 10, 15



- Analysis of One Step Look Ahead (1SLA) and Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS-S) seeding for vanilla Rolling Horizon Evolutionary Algorithm (RHEA)
- Multiple RHEA parameter configurations
- Win rate and score measured on 20 GVGAI games
- Overall and pairwise comparison
- O Validation against MCTS



- Seeding improves performance if population size is small
- **O** MCTS seeding significantly better (performance drops if rollout depth too large)
- MCTS seeding worse in puzzle games / longer lookaheads
- O Limited exploration, search too narrow
- MCTS seeding not worse than simply MCTS



- O Meta-heuristic: which seeding method is best?
- O Better exploration of search space & use of solution provided by seeding
- O Better evolution paired with powerful seeding method
- O More games to better judge significance

